## Major Area Written Paper Evaluation Form Department of Psychology & Neuroscience Duke University

Evaluative Dimension	LOW			HIG	HIGH	
Clarity of the problem definition     Comment:	1	2	3	4	5	NA
2. Impartial criteria for inclusion of studies Comment:	1	2	3	4	5	NA
3. Balance/ fairness in coverage of alternative views Comment:	1	2	3	4	5	NA
4. Appropriateness and quality of conceptual integration  Comment:	1	2	3	4	5	NA
5. Correspondence between findings and inferences draw	vn 1	2	3	4	5	NA
6. Quality of writing (clarity, coherence, organization) Comment:	1	2	3	4	5	NA
7. Originality of contribution Comment:	1	2	3	4	5	NA
8. Appropriateness of implications for future research Comment:	1	2	3	4	5	NA
Does this paper pass the student on to the oral defense?	(A sco	re of 3	3 is req	uired t	<u>:0</u>	
pass.)	1	2	3	4	5	
Does the paper meet the minimum length standard?	YES	NO				
Is this paper ready for submission for publication, as is or	with a	chiev	able re	vision	?	
	YES		N	0		