

**Major Area Written Paper Evaluation Form
Department of Psychology & Neuroscience
Duke University**

Evaluative Dimension	LOW			HIGH		NA
1. Clarity of the problem definition Comment:	1	2	3	4	5	NA
2. Impartial criteria for inclusion of studies Comment:	1	2	3	4	5	NA
3. Balance/ fairness in coverage of alternative views Comment:	1	2	3	4	5	NA
4. Appropriateness and quality of conceptual integration Comment:	1	2	3	4	5	NA
5. Correspondence between findings and inferences drawn Comment:	1	2	3	4	5	NA
6. Quality of writing (clarity, coherence, organization) Comment:	1	2	3	4	5	NA
7. Originality of contribution Comment:	1	2	3	4	5	NA
8. Appropriateness of implications for future research Comment:	1	2	3	4	5	NA

Does this paper pass the student on to the oral defense? (A score of 3 is required to pass.)

1 2 3 4 5

Does the paper meet the minimum length standard? YES NO

Is this paper ready for submission for publication, as is or with achievable revision?

YES NO