

**Graduation with Distinction
in Psychology
Psychology & Neuroscience
Duke University
2017-2018**

Table of Contents

Graduation with Distinction Basics

General Information	4
Sample Timeline	7
Important Deadlines for 2017-2018	7
Important Contacts	8
Additional Resources	9
Honors and Awards	11

Elements of Graduation with Distinction

The Written Honors Thesis	13
The Research Poster	14
The Oral Defense	16

Helpful Hints for Graduation with Distinction

Designing a Plan for Writing: Creating a Timeline and Sticking to It	18
How to be a Good Mentee	20
Tips for Navigating the Mentoring Relationship	22
Words of Wisdom from Former Graduation with Distinction Students	23

Appendix: Forms

Request for Psychology Research Independent Study	26
Graduation with Distinction Application	31
Evaluation of the Written Thesis	32
Evaluation of the Oral Presentation of the Thesis	33
Report on Examination of Candidate for Graduation with Distinction in Psychology	34

Graduation with Distinction Basics

General Information

What is Graduation with Distinction (GwD)?

The Graduation with Distinction (GwD) Program in Psychology & Neuroscience (P&N) is intended for students interested in completing a significant research project in Psychology during their undergraduate career. This project is more than an independent study; in fact, it's like a mini-dissertation. You will complete a research project, write a thesis, and defend it in front of a committee in order to graduate with distinction.

Why Pursue GwD?

Pursuit of independent academic research under the guidance of a faculty mentor is an opportunity to forge a close working relationship with one or more professors in your field of intellectual interest, and this experience is invaluable. The mentor's familiarity with your work and your potential can also be enormously helpful if you apply to post-graduate programs of study. Distinction is thus not only an honor that is noted on your transcript, but can also represent a high point in your academic career and be beneficial to your subsequent scholarly pursuits. Distinction in psychology also provides you with an opportunity to gain experience writing an empirical paper about your own research.

If you are considering graduate school in psychology, obtaining the extensive experience that GwD provides is essential to making you the strongest applicant you can be. It also will help you see firsthand whether the research process is something you enjoy, which is important to learn before entering graduate school. GwD provides a mini-experience of graduate student life, as you get to see what it's like to form a committee and present your independent research to them.

If you are not interested in graduate school in psychology, doing GwD will still demonstrate that you went above and beyond traditional academic requirements. This, coupled with the first-hand research experience you receive, can make you a more compelling applicant for other graduate and professional programs, as well as jobs.

Who is Eligible to Enroll for GwD?

The opportunity to write a thesis and qualify for GwD is open to those majoring or minoring in Psychology. It is also open to students enrolled in Program II. Students at Duke can also pursue GwD outside of the major. Information on this can be found at <http://trinity.duke.edu/undergraduate/academic-policies/gwd>.

There is no minimum GPA to enroll, but there are GPA minimums that must be attained to receive the GwD designation (see below).

Summary of GwD Requirements

- **Overall GPA of 3.0 and major GPA of 3.5.** Applicants may have below-minimum grades at the time of application, but must meet the required levels by the time of graduation in order to be awarded Honors. GPAs are not rounded up. Grades in independent study classes in psychology and the distinction thesis workshop do not count towards the major GPA. In cases where the student's GPA falls just below the required cut-off, his or her faculty mentor may petition the Director of Undergraduate Studies for Psychology to permit the student to graduate with distinction.
- **Completion of two semesters of independent study related to the project.**
- **Completion of PSY 496, the Distinction Thesis Workshop.** This full-credit, graded course must be taken during the spring semester of senior year (or junior year, for students aiming to graduate in December). It is designed in part to help students prepare the thesis write-up and oral defense, as well as to provide professional development training in many key areas that students would encounter in graduate school, such as the research article review and publication process, and how to write a research grant proposal.
- **Writing of the thesis and an oral defense before a 3-person faculty committee.** Written thesis components are outlined in the handbook section [Elements of Graduation with Distinction](#). A rubric for the evaluation of the final thesis and the oral defense can be found in the [Appendix](#) of this handbook.

- **Poster presentation at the Visible Thinking undergraduate research fair in April.**

How to Enroll in GwD

- Application
 - Complete and submit the regular form for Independent Study, found in the [Appendix](#) of this handbook.
 - Complete and submit the GwD application form, found in the [Appendix](#) of this handbook.
 - Forms and required signatures must be submitted on the first day of open enrollment for the spring term (November 1, 2017). When you turn in your forms you will receive the permission numbers needed to enroll in the independent study class and the distinction thesis workshop.
 - If you plan to graduate in December, you will enroll in the distinction thesis workshop during the spring semester of your junior year. Students graduating in May will register during the spring semester of their senior year.
 - **New this year**, identify your 3-person committee before you submit the GwD application form.
 - **Note:** Although students typically complete GwD requirements during the 2 semesters of their senior year, some students work on projects that begin their junior year. Also, students who wish to complete their thesis as juniors may do so; this is unusual, but there are no rules against it.
- Forming Your Committee
 - Obtain a committee chair for your research. Then select two other individuals to serve on the thesis committee. Your committee chair can help you select the other two committee members.
 - Your committee chair must be a primary or joint faculty member in P&N.
 - The second member may hold either a primary, joint, or secondary appointment in P&N.
 - The final member may be a P&N faculty member, a post-doc or graduate student in P&N, a faculty member from another department at Duke, or a faculty member from another institution.
 - **New this year**, identify your 3-person committee before you submit the GwD application.
 - A current list of faculty with primary, joint, and secondary appointments may be found under the 'People' tab at <https://psychandneuro.duke.edu/>

Undergraduate Research with Human and Animal Subjects

The use of animals and human subjects in research is governed by Duke University in strict compliance with federal regulations. Before you begin research with human or animal subjects, you must have written approval from the Institutional Review Board (IRB). If your faculty mentor has not already received IRB approval for the study you will be working on, the staff at the Campus IRB can assist you in writing your protocol. IRB staff are happy to meet with you to talk about your protocol and you should feel free to call if you are not sure how to fill out the required forms or if you have any questions about what you need to submit to the IRB. It will be easier to write your protocol if you have reviewed the materials available on the Campus IRB website:

<https://campusirb.duke.edu>

Prepare a draft of your protocol and consent forms and share them with your faculty mentor, who will help you fine-tune them. Both you and your mentor will need to be certified to conduct research with human subjects at Duke before your proposal can be approved. The following link provides information on certification:

<https://ors.duke.edu/researcher/initial-certification>

Use of Animals in Research

Upon submitting a proposal for a project that uses animals, you should prepare a protocol for the Duke Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee: <https://sites.duke.edu/oawa/using-animals-at-duke-university/>

Beyond GwD

An honors thesis demonstrates your commitment to psychology and your motivation to expand your research knowledge and skills. Completing this independent work will also speak of your ability to design a study, to

collect and analyze data, and to interpret results. After the thesis is completed, you may have the opportunity to present at a conference or professional meeting, or the chance to publish your work in a peer-reviewed journal. In fact, this should be the ultimate goal of your thesis.

Present Your Work at Conferences

Professional presentations typically involve a 15-minute oral presentation for an audience, followed by discussion. These presentations are often part of a symposium, or group of papers, with other researchers whose work revolves around a similar theme. Conference presentations can also involve the creation of a poster, which may be more typical for undergraduate work.

Experience with presenting research is beneficial when applying to graduate programs, professional programs, or full-time employment. It serves as evidence of a thorough and accomplished undergraduate career. You should discuss with a faculty mentor the possibility of presenting your work at a conference. Your mentor will be able to provide you with different options and assist you with submission materials and deadlines.

Publish Your Work in a Peer-Reviewed Journal

Discuss with your mentor the possibility of publishing your work. He or she may assist you by proposing different journals that may be interested in your article. Journals such as the [*Psi Chi Journal of Psychological Research*](#) provide opportunities for students to present or publish, but you should consider the types of journals in which your mentor typically publishes.

The primary purpose of peer review is to ensure that the papers published are valid and unbiased, and to enhance the quality of the work. In the peer review process, a paper is evaluated by several reviewers at the journal to which you submit. Reviewers are considered experts in the area that your article addresses. The reviewers critique the paper and submit their thoughts to the journal's editor, who then decides whether to publish the paper based on the reviewers' comments. The editor will either a) publish the paper without revisions (rare); b) ask you to revise and resubmit, which would likely lead to publication, or c) reject the paper. It's important to adopt a thick skin during the review process, and to understand that feedback can be useful and can help you improve your manuscript when you either re-submit to the original journal or choose a new journal for submission. Your mentor can help you through this process.

Past GwD students have successfully published their work in peer-reviewed journals. Doing so is a great way to strengthen your application to graduate school in psychology. Publishing as an undergraduate would be viewed positively by any graduate program or job to which you apply.

Sample Timeline

Timelines for senior theses are individual and relate specifically to your own research goals and the guidelines that you and your mentor set together. It is recommended that you share the Sample Timeline with your mentor and discuss what timeline will work for you. The Sample Timeline below provides guidelines for planning.

Note: Specific dates are for the **2017-2018 academic year**.

Date	Suggested Status
September	Refine research question, begin data collection, establish mentor expectations and timeline
October – December	Run data collection and start writing the introduction and method sections.
Late January	Complete data collection
Early February	Begin analyses
February - March	Begin writing results and discussion and refine the introduction and method sections using feedback received in the PSY 496 class. Give practice oral presentation in PSY 496.
Early April	Finish draft of all sections, allow time for revisions after feedback from mentor.
April 18	For students who have been nominated for the Zener award, deadline to defend and turn in three unbound copies of thesis to the Undergraduate Psychology Office in 242 Soc/Psych.
April 25	Last day to defend thesis, last day of classes
April 30	Both final bound copy of thesis and PDF of final document due to the Undergraduate Psychology Office. Examples of acceptable bound copies are shelved in the office, and are available for inspection.

Important Deadlines for 2017-2018

Event	Deadline
Turn in GwD application form to 242 Soc/Psych	First day of regular spring registration (November 1, 2017)
Turn in Independent Study proposal form to 242 Soc/Psych	Last day of Drop/Add (12 noon on January 24, 2018)
Enroll in PSY 494 and 496	Last day of Drop/Add (January 24, 2018)
Sign-up for Visible Thinking poster session	April 2018 (date TBD)
Final deadline for Departmental printing of research poster for Visible Thinking	April 2018 (date TBD – usually one week before Visible Thinking)
Visible Thinking poster session	April 2018 (date TBD)
Deadline for thesis defense to be considered for the Zener Award. Submit three unbound copies of thesis to the Undergraduate Psychology Office in 242 Soc/Psych.	April 18, 2018
Final deadline for thesis defense	April 25, 2018
Bound copy of thesis due to the Undergraduate Psychology Office in 242 Soc/Psych.	April 30, 2018

Important Contacts

Person	Contact Information
Rick Hoyle Director of Undergraduate Studies	321 Soc/Psych (919) 660-5791 rhoyle@duke.edu
Angie Vieth Associate Director of Undergraduate Studies	242 Soc/Psych (919) 684-9678 azvieth@duke.edu
Whitney Edmister Career Specialist/Assistant to the Director of Undergraduate Studies	242 Soc/Psych (919) 660-5719 whitney.edmister@duke.edu
Tobias Egner Professor, PSY 496	246 LSRC (919) 684-1049 tobias.egner@duke.edu
Campus Institutional Review Board (IRB) https://campusirb.duke.edu/ Holly Williams Lorna Hicks	Suite 710 Erwin Square 2200 W. Main Street, Durham, NC 27705 (919) 681-8686 holly.williams.irb@duke.edu (919) 681-8773 lorna.hicks@duke.edu
Undergraduate Research Support Office (Visible Thinking office) http://undergraduateresearch.duke.edu/visible-thinking Brittany Kelly	011 Allen Building Phone: (919) 684-6536 ursoffice@duke.edu
Matt Mielke P&N Department Poster Printer	matt.mielke@duke.edu

Additional Resources

Funding Resource:

Undergraduate Research Support Office Independent Study Grants

There are a limited number of Independent Study Grants available. Preference will be given to first-time applicants and to those who apply early.

URS Grants are provided to help defray research expenses of up to \$400 for Trinity and Pratt students enrolled in faculty-supervised independent study courses or the equivalent, such as thesis and research capstone courses. A grant makes funds available to purchase approved supplies and equipment (which become the property of the University) for the research project. When strongly recommended by a student's faculty research mentor, the URS Program will consider supporting a student's travel to collect data or use a unique resource collection. Grants may not be used to buy personal books or personal items, or to pay salaries.

Fall 2017 Deadline: Rolling from August 28 – September 20

Spring 2018 Deadline: Rolling from January 10 – March 10

Summer 2018 Deadline: Rolling from May 17 – July 31

Purpose: To defray project expenses for students in faculty-supervised independent study, thesis, or capstone courses.

Funding: Up to \$400

Application information can be found at

<http://undergraduateresearch.duke.edu/urs-programs/urs-independent-study-grants>

Contact:

Email: ursoffice@duke.edu

Phone: (919) 684-6536

Fax: (919) 660-0488

011 Allen Building

Library Resources

Literature Review Resource:

Ciara Healy, Librarian for Psychology & Neuroscience

The Librarian for Psychology & Neuroscience and Liaison to the Duke institute for Brain Sciences actively engages with faculty, students, visiting scholars and staff, providing and promoting library resources and services that support their work. She provides specialized research assistance and instruction to library users and develops and manages the Libraries' collections in the fields of psychology and neuroscience.

Contact:

Perkins Library 233

(919) 660-5829

ciara.healy@duke.edu

<http://library.duke.edu/about/directory/staff/5581>

Check out what the library offers students writing honors theses:

<http://library.duke.edu/services/undergraduate/honors>

Writing Resource:**The Writing Studio**

At the Writing Studio, you can meet with trained writing tutors to discuss your writing concerns. By discussing your work-in-progress with a trained tutor, you will develop the awareness and skills to improve as a writer.

Tutors help at any stage of the writing process – from brainstorming and researching to drafting, revising, and polishing a final draft. You may schedule a maximum of one appointment per day or two appointments per week, depending on availability.

To sign up for an appointment online: <http://twp.duke.edu/twp-writing-studio/appointments/appointment>

The Writing Studio has three campus locations:

1. 112 Perkins Library, West Campus
2. 107 Bivins, East Campus
3. Lilly Library Second Floor, East Campus

Data and Analysis Resource:**Social Science Research Institute (SSRI)**

SSRI offers free consultation in the following areas to all Duke faculty and students who are involved in social and behavioral science research.

SSRI offers a help desk (the Connection Bar) inside SSRI's Computer Lab. You are free to stop by without an appointment to ask questions of experts on topics such as the following:

Excel, Matlab, MPlus, NodeXL, Qualtrics, R, SAS, SPSS, and Stata; survey design, sampling, and managing missing data; and linear, longitudinal multilevel, network models.

SSRI also offers statistical workshops. See <https://ssri.duke.edu/education/workshops> for scheduled offerings.

Contact:

SSRI website:

<https://ssri.duke.edu/about/contact>

Help Desk/Connection Bar:

Chat hours, Mon-Fri, 10:00 a.m. – 6:00 p.m. (<https://ssri.duke.edu/connection/connection-bar>)

For scheduling consultations, go to <https://connect.ssri.duke.edu/connection/connection-bar/all-consultants>

Honors and Awards

Department of Psychology & Neuroscience Awards

The Zener Award

The Karl E. Zener Award recognizes a senior Psychology major who has shown outstanding performance and scholarship, as determined on the basis of both the honors thesis and the total academic record. The Director of Undergraduate Studies will consult with faculty members in P&N in order to determine the winner of this award. The winner of the Zener receives a monetary prize, and his or her name is added to a memorial plaque in Zener Auditorium (Room 130 of the Sociology/Psychology Building). To be considered for this award, you must be nominated by your mentor; feel free to approach your mentor if you would like him or her to nominate you.

The Bruner Award

The Jerome S. Bruner Award for Excellence in Undergraduate Research recognizes a Psychology major in the first term of his or her senior year for excellence in research activities, intellectual curiosity, and future potential for scholarly activity. Eligible applicants will have engaged in research, either as part of the Psychology major or under the supervision of a primary faculty member in Psychology & Neuroscience, during the junior year or the summer between junior and senior years. The award consists of a monetary prize and inclusion by name on a plaque in Zener Auditorium (Room 130 of the Sociology Psychology Building).

Library Research Award

Lowell Aptman Prizes

[The Lowell Aptman Prizes](#) recognize undergraduates' excellence in research, including their evaluation and synthesis of sources, and encourage students to make use of the general library collections and services at Duke University. Any student who uses library resources to complete a paper and project as part of his or her undergraduate coursework at Duke may be considered for the Lowell Aptman Prizes. Each prize carries a \$1000 cash award.

Elements of Graduation with Distinction

The Written Honors Thesis

Overview

The thesis is usually an empirical project, with original data collection and analysis, or secondary analysis of an existing data set. A comprehensive literature review also may be acceptable, but most of the following information is more applicable to an empirical project, which generally is the norm for honors theses.

An empirical paper should contain the same elements that you find in most psychology journal articles: Introduction/literature review, Method, Results, and Discussion sections. As you read articles for your literature review, pay attention to the structure, form, and language of published empirical papers. Your final thesis should follow a similar format and tone. The thesis should be written in American Psychological Association (APA) or American Medical Association (AMA) style, in manuscript form, as if it were to be submitted for publication in a journal (which should be the ultimate goal!).

The appropriate length of your thesis will be determined in consultation with your faculty mentor and will depend on the field of study. For example, empirical articles written about research in developmental psychology are usually much longer than those in neuroscience. Typically, however, manuscript length is 20-30 double-spaced pages, plus references and figures/tables.

A copy of the form used by your committee to evaluate your written thesis can be found in the [Appendix](#) of this handbook.

Below is a brief description of the specific elements of the thesis paper. See the *Publication Manual of the American Psychological Association* (6th ed.) for more in-depth discussion and tips for writing each section. A portion of the Distinction Thesis Workshop, or PSY 496, also may focus on this topic.

Abstract

The abstract is a brief summary of your paper that should describe the problem you are investigating, the participants, the important features of the method, your basic findings, and the conclusions/implications/applications. Most people write this last.

Introduction and Literature Review

Your paper should begin with an introduction to the problem or question you are investigating. This will lead into a substantive literature review that is relevant to the research question and sets the stage for your study. Don't be afraid to use section headings to break up a long introduction. This is helpful to your reader and can be helpful to you in terms of organizing your ideas. A "roadmap" of where you will be heading with the paper can also be helpful to your reader. You should be able to get a good feel for how the introductory section should be written and organized from the many articles you will be reading in order to prepare your literature review.

In the "current study" section at the end of the introduction/literature review, you will give an overview of your study, highlighting the ways in which it will contribute to the body of literature. You will also state your hypotheses and how they relate to the research design.

Method

In this section, you will provide a detailed description of your study. Typically, this includes the following subsections:

- Participants – Describe your sample's demographic characteristics (e.g., age, sex, ethnicity/race, education level, SES, etc. – whatever characteristics are important to your topic). Also describe how they were recruited, how many there were, what percentage of those invited actually participated, etc.)
- Measures (or Materials) – Describe the measures used and what constructs they assess. Also include relevant data on the quality of the measures. Copies of measures may be included in the Appendix.
- Procedure – What did you do in this study? How did you do it? Describe your research design.

Results

Summarize the data collected and the analyses performed. Report the results of all statistical tests relevant to the “story” you are telling in your thesis. Report results even if they are nonsignificant or if they are contrary to your hypotheses. Tables and figures to display your results should follow your references.

Discussion

In the final section, you will evaluate and interpret your results and discuss their implications. You should bring the discussion back to your original hypotheses, drawing inferences and conclusions from your results and citing sources as necessary to interpret your results. Include limitations/weaknesses of your study as well as future directions.

Appendix

As noted above, you may include copies of your measures in your appendix. See the APA manual for appropriate formatting.

References

References should be in APA format (or AMA format if your mentor suggests it). See the APA publication manual (6th ed.) for formatting guidelines. Other helpful resources:

<https://owl.english.purdue.edu/>

<http://www.apastyle.org/>

Guide to Publishing in Psychology Journals – This is an excellent book that provides detailed information about the different elements of an empirical paper. A copy is available in the Undergraduate Psychology Office in 242 Soc/Psych.

Writing Resources

See the Writing Studio for handouts that can help you with organizing, drafting, and revising your work. You will also get additional writing instruction, tips, and some feedback on your writing in PSY 496.

The Research Poster

Visible Thinking

As a GwD student, you are required to take part in Duke’s Visible Thinking undergraduate research fair by presenting your work in the form of a poster. This is an exciting opportunity for you to share your work with a university-wide audience (rather than only your mentor and your committee)! Visible Thinking is a college-wide symposium held on Campus every April. Registration usually closes two weeks prior to the event.

What is a Research Poster?

A poster is a static visual medium (usually printed on large glossy or matte paper) used to communicate ideas and messages. The difference between poster and oral presentations is that your poster will do a lot of the ‘talking’ for you. That is, the material presented should convey the essence of your message. However, that does not mean that you can disappear! Your task as presenter is to stand by your poster, answer questions and provide further details for people who look at your poster; to bask in their praises or suffer their difficult questions, and to convince others that what you have done is worthwhile.

An effective poster operates on multiple levels:

- Source of information
- Conversation-starter about your research
- Advertisement for your research
- Summary of your work

What is Included in the Poster?

Look at sample posters from your lab for inspiration. Typically, a poster contains the following information:

- Title
- Author's name and affiliation
- Introduction
- Method
- Results
- Discussion
- References
- Acknowledgments

Note: You will include very brief and basic information in each section. You will NOT cut and paste paragraphs from your written thesis.

Layout and Formatting

- Follow the template provided by the department for your poster. Most people create their posters using PowerPoint.
- Keep it simple!
- Keep it visual!
- Use headings to orient readers and convey major points
- Use simple, clean graphics to convey relationships clearly
- Text should be simple, clean, and large. It's best to use at least 24-point for text and 36-pt for headings, but some things (e.g., References) may be smaller. People need to be able to read your poster from a few feet away.

Preparing for the Poster Presentation

- Sign up for departmental poster printing.
- Make sure your poster is organized, readable, and draws the eye. If you include too much text, people will have a difficult time taking in what you have done. You need to summarize your study in a manner that makes it very easy for someone to grasp the main point – don't feel like you have to include everything in your poster. Sample posters are posted on the second floor, Psychology side of Soc/Psych.
- Have copies of your poster ready on standard paper, including your contact info.
- Have an "elevator speech" prepared – the 2-3 sentences that summarize the main point of your work and why it is important/memorable. This can be done in a number of ways, but should consist of catchy, easily understood sound bites that address:
 - What the study is about
 - Main findings
 - Why the study is important

Resources

P&N prints posters for GwD students each year. You will also get poster design instruction, tips, and some feedback on your poster draft in PSY 496.

Here are some helpful websites for creating your poster:

- <https://projects.ncsu.edu/project/posters/>
- <http://colinpurrington.com/tips/poster-design>

The Oral Defense

Overview

You will defend your thesis during an oral exam with your committee, which will consist of your committee chair (mentor) and two other individuals. The oral exam will take about one hour (typically they do not last longer than this). During the oral exam, you will present a brief (15-20 minutes) PowerPoint presentation. Following your presentation, your committee will ask you questions about your research.

During your oral exam, your committee members will not be firing off questions at you in an attempt to expose you as an imposter to the field of psychology! Rather, the oral exam is more of a conversation. Your committee members pose questions and you respond with your ideas. Remember, you are an expert on this subject now. The committee is typically a very friendly audience who is rooting for the student to succeed. While it's normal to feel a little nervous, try to focus on the fact that the defense is your opportunity to share your work and discuss it in depth with mentors whom you respect.

PowerPoint Presentation

Your presentation should be 15-20 minutes long. Remember, your audience (your committee) has read your paper and does not need every detail of the study. Spend some time introducing the problem/research questions and explaining why your study is important. Then walk the audience through your method and results. In the discussion session, give the audience some take-home points, address limitations and future directions, and ask for questions at the end.

During the PSY 496 Distinction Thesis Workshop, everyone practices their thesis presentation in class in order to receive feedback from the instructor and fellow students.

Helpful Hints for Graduation with Distinction

Designing a Plan For Writing: Creating a Timeline and Sticking to It

Adapted from Belcher, W. L. (2009), *Writing your journal article in 12 weeks: A guide to academic publishing success*. Los Angeles, CA: Sage.

The most common advice to students writing their honors theses is: “Start early!” This is easier said than done. Many students spend the last weeks of their senior year frantically analyzing their data and finishing up their theses, often resulting in “final drafts” that would have benefited greatly from a few more rounds of revision.

The mad dash to the end can be avoided! Below are some helpful hints for those of you who are committed to creating a timeline and sticking to it.

Creating a Timeline

The elements of your thesis are the Introduction, Method, Results, and Discussion. Typically, GwD students spend the first semester collecting their data and working on their Introduction. This may vary based on your research topic, your mentor, and whether you are working with an existing data set. However, it is recommended that you have a solid draft of the introduction before the end of first semester.

At the beginning of the year, sit down with your mentor and discuss your timeline. Set realistic goals for progress, and discuss strategies for staying on schedule.

Keys to Positive Writing Experiences

- 1. Successful academic writers *write*.** No matter how busy your life is, **make a plan for writing** (see below). If you write a little bit every day, your ideas will be fresh and you will make progress.
- 2. Successful academic writers make writing social.** You are not in this alone! You have a class full of people who are in the same boat as you. Get over your fears about showing your work to others – and don’t worry about sharing a draft that is “not complete.” You won’t finish your thesis until the end of the year, and at that point it will be too late to get feedback. Consider forming a writing group or participating in one that takes place in your lab.
- 3. Successful academic writers appreciate feedback – both the good and the “bad.”** Faculty members and graduate students alike have experienced rejection and criticism of their writing through the peer-review process. It is part of academic writing. It’s important to have a thick skin and know that any feedback on your writing is a gift. Don’t get discouraged or upset if someone has several suggestions on a draft of your writing – it is going to make your writing better! If you wrote a perfect first draft, you wouldn’t need a mentor!
- 4. Successful academic writers pursue their passions.** If you write about something you are interested in and care deeply about, it will come a lot easier!

Designing Your Writing Schedule

- 1. Choose your writing site.** In order to form a habit of writing regularly, it will help for you take some time to think about which study site has worked best for your writing. Find a place where you can be productive and free from distractions.
- 2. Design your writing schedule.** Many students think that they need long, uninterrupted stretches of time to write. However, studies have shown that writers who write a little bit every day produce more manuscripts than those who use extended writing sessions less frequently. Students often say they can’t write every day, but try this experiment: For one week, spend fifteen minutes each day writing for your thesis. See where it gets you—you may be surprised!

- 3. Set a realistic writing goal with a firm deadline.** Talk to your mentor about setting realistic, specific deadlines for draft reviews. Keep yourself to the deadline; don't try to avoid your mentor! Firm deadlines will help you keep moving forward.

Roadblocks to Writing – and How to Overcome Them

Below are some of the most common writing obstacles encountered by students. Which are your own personal roadblocks? Identify yours and think about ways to overcome them.

- **I'm too busy!** – Are you going to be less busy at the end of the second semester when your thesis deadline is approaching? Find the time! Make it a priority to write at least fifteen minutes a day.
- **My other classes take up all my time.** There is always more preparation, reading, and studying one could do for any class. The best solution is to set regular times for thesis work and to not let other responsibilities interfere with it. Protect your writing time!
- **I will write just as soon as I (fill in the blank).** Fine. It's really important for you to clean your apartment before you start working on your thesis. But just try doing 15 minutes of writing first!
- **I have to read just one more book.** It's easy to get bogged down in research. Each article leads to another, and then another...One more article is NOT going to make you an expert on the subject! Try doing your research and writing at the same time. Don't take endless notes and then feel overwhelmed because you have to go through all of them! You don't have to "finish" your research before you write. Start writing and find out what holes you have to go through and fill in.
- **I just can't get started.** Try getting started by writing poorly. Every sentence does not have to be perfect. Start out by just writing placeholders for ideas.
- **I'm not in the mood to write.** Start doing it anyway; soon you'll be in the mood!
- **I can't write because my idea sucks.** Allow yourself to develop ideas without critiquing them right away. Spend a couple pages fleshing out an idea and then find a classmate to talk about it with you.
- **My mentor is more of an obstacle than an aid.** Hopefully this is not a problem for any student, but if it is, try to find help elsewhere. A graduate student, a supportive writing group, another professor or TA. Don't let it stop you from moving forward. See "Tips for Navigating the Mentoring Relationship."
- **I can't sit still.** Try it for just fifteen minutes at a time!
- **I write too slowly.** Remember, no one churns out a perfect first draft! Most people plod along, writing two sentences and then deleting one of them, repeatedly reading and revising their work. With practice, you'll get faster. For now, just pat yourself on the back for spending time doing it!
- **I want to ask for feedback but everyone seems so busy and I don't want to bother them.** Try making feedback more social – ask a friend to exchange drafts. Start a writing group. And set clear check-in points with your mentor.
- **I get distracted with Facebook, emailing, and texting.** Try closing your browser when writing and turning off your phone. Don't make the mistake of thinking you'll get started after just a couple minutes of surfing.

Timeline

Create a timeline for the academic year that indicates when you want to have certain milestones completed (e.g., draft of introduction, data collection, data entry, etc.). In addition, create a weekly timeline of your work toward those goals.

If you have trouble finding the time to do the work, take an inventory of how you spend your time each day for one week. It may help you identify some ways you can maximize your time.

How To Be a Good Mentee

Adapted from Neal, T. (2011, February). How to be a good mentee. *APS Observer*, 24(2). Retrieved from <http://www.psychologicalscience.org/observer/how-to-be-a-good-mentee>. This article has been adapted to pertain to undergraduates rather than graduate students.

Mentoring relationships are the bedrock on which much of higher education is built. Mentoring reflects a relationship between an experienced senior colleague (mentor) and a less experienced junior colleague or student (mentee), in which the mentor provides the mentee with resources, expertise, skills, and perspectives related to personal development and career advancement. The mentee is not a passive vessel into which the mentor pours knowledge but rather is a collaborator who actively engages in learning and critically reflects on experiences (Zachary & Fischler, 2009).

It is clear that healthy mentor/mentee relationships are important. Then the questions follow: How can I be a good mentee? What can I do to make the most of my relationship with my mentor? Mentees who are less knowledgeable about how to maximize the benefits of mentoring relationships receive less mentoring and are less satisfied with their mentoring relationships than are mentees who are better skilled at this task (Allen & Poteet, 1999). Allen and Poteet gathered information about important elements for successful mentor/mentee relationships. This is a summary of what they found:

1. Establish an open communication system with reciprocal feedback
2. Set standards, goals, and expectations
3. Establish trust
4. Care for and enjoy each other
5. Allow mistakes
6. Participate willingly
7. Demonstrate flexibility
8. Consider constraints to mentoring
9. Learn from others
10. Work on common tasks
11. Be open and comfortable

The first three elements are arguably the most important. Establishing open communication is important for the success of any relationship. Being able to be appropriately assertive and to speak about what you need or what is not working for you is important for your own development, and sharing with your mentor what is working and what is going well goes a long way in maintaining a positive relationship. Setting goals and expectations is crucial for the success of the relationship. As early in your relationship as you can, speak with your mentor about what s/he expects from you and what s/he expects to provide you. If those expectations do not line up with your needs, speak candidly about what else you might need.

Scheduling a regular one-on-one meeting time will structure your relationship and will allow you to feel comfortable knowing that you have undivided attention. You must meet with your mentor every other week at a minimum. Without regular meeting times, you may find it harder to make continual progress on goals, and it may be more difficult to complete degree requirements on time.

When you enter into a relationship with a mentor, it is important that you trust the motivation, interest, and ability of your mentor. If you have reservations, you should seek an alternative mentor if you are still in the phase of searching for one. If you are already in a less-than-perfect mentoring relationship, you have the option of 1) taking some time to examine yourself and your own behaviors to see how you might improve the relationship, and 2) speaking openly and assertively with your mentor about your concerns about the relationship.

Other advice for being a good mentee comes from Triple Creek Associates, a company that specializes in teaching skills to mentees who want to make the most of their mentoring relationships. The foundation of their advice rests on three “vital signs” of successful mentoring relationships: respect, responsiveness, and accountability.

1. *Respect*: Mutual respect is the starting and sustaining aspect of a successful mentoring relationship. Professional and personal appreciation of one another is core to enhancing learning.
2. *Responsiveness*: Your willingness to learn from your mentor and your mentor’s willingness to respond to your learning needs are important for successful collaboration. Be responsive to your mentor’s efforts to contact you and meet with you. Your mentor should not expect to wait two days to get a response to an email, for example.
3. *Accountability*: Once you and your mentor establish mutually held goals and expectations, keeping your agreements strengthens trust and helps maintain a positive relationship.

Establishing and cultivating a healthy mentoring relationship rests, to a large extent, in the hands of the mentee. Mentees who know what their own goals and expectations are and can communicate them clearly, who seek information about how to be successful in learning from mentors, and who carefully attend to their relationships with their mentors may reap many benefits. If you find yourself in a situation where you are not satisfied with the quality of your mentoring relationship, take a critical and honest look at yourself and your behaviors. What might you be able to do to improve your relationship with your mentor? If you have tried unsuccessfully to address the issues at hand, contact someone in the Undergraduate Psychology Office (Rick Hoyle or Angie Vieth), or discuss the issue with Tobias Egner, the instructor for PSY 496.

References

- Allen, T. D., Eby, L. T., Poteet, M. L., Lentz, E., & Lima, L. (2004). Career benefits associated with mentoring for protégés: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Psychology, 89*, 127–136.
- Allen, T. D., & Poteet, M. L. (1999). Developing effective mentoring relationships: Strategies from the mentor’s viewpoint. *The Career Development Quarterly, 48*, 59-73.
- Johnson, B. W., & Huwe, M. (2003). *Getting mentored in graduate school*. Washington, DC: American Psychological Association.
- Kram, K. E. (1988). *Mentoring at work: Developmental relationships in organizational life*. Glenview, IL: Scott Foresman.
- Triple Creek Associates, Inc. (2007). *Mentoring guide for mentees* (2nd ed.). Retrieved from <https://d3n8a8pro7vhmx.cloudfront.net/aosa/pages/35/attachments/original/1408327936/MenteeGuide.pdf?1408327936>
- Zachary, L. J., & Fischler, L. A. (2009). *The mentee’s guide: How to make mentoring work for you*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Tips for Navigating the Mentoring Relationship

Adapted from Rackham Graduate School at the University of Michigan (2015). *How to get the mentoring you want: A guide for graduate students*. Retrieved from <http://www.rackham.umich.edu/downloads/publications/mentoring.pdf>

As a GwD student, you are charged with developing and demonstrating your abilities to be an independent scholar and researcher. Your mentor's job is to guide you in this endeavor, but everyone has different styles of mentoring. Here are some tips for navigating the mentoring relationship.

Work Plan

- Develop a plan that includes both short- and long-term goals, as well as a series of deadlines for completing each step.
- When situations arise that require modifications to your plan, discuss them with your mentor and agree upon a new work plan.
- Contact your mentor regularly to discuss your progress. Determine early on how frequently you will do this. If you feel you need more frequent contact than your mentor is providing, speak up and let him/her know.
- Remember to pursue additional resources for the assistance you need to achieve your goals. For example, SSRI can help you with data management and analysis.

Meetings

- Schedule regular meetings and show up for them on time. You must have meetings at least every other week, at minimum.
- Take responsibility for leading the meeting. You raise the issues and questions; your mentor's role is to respond.
- Have an agenda for each meeting. What needs to be discussed? Prioritize your agenda items so you can be sure to have your most important questions answered first. Mentors truly value when students take initiative, show real intellectual curiosity about what they are studying, and show up to meetings with questions and ideas. Be sure to *take an active role* with your thesis process. Don't rely too heavily on your mentor to develop the work plan/timeline for you.
- After the meeting, summarize the meeting by writing up meeting minutes and email them to your mentor. This will give you and your mentor a written document that summarizes the points that were covered during your meeting, your goals/deadlines, and anything that you or your mentor agreed to do before the next meeting.
- If you need to cancel a meeting, do so as far in advance as possible and do not rely on one form of message: Send an e-mail and make a phone call to ensure your mentor gets the message.
- If your mentor is pressed for time when you have a scheduled meeting, try to be flexible. Offer to reschedule the meeting, shorten it, or handle it over e-mail.
- While you want to be flexible, you must remain committed to getting what you need in a timely manner. If your mentor repeatedly cancels meetings or does not respond to your attempts at communicating, don't give up. Try talking to a graduate student or another member of your mentor's lab. You also may talk to individuals in the Undergraduate Psychology Office or your thesis workshop professor.

Feedback and Editing

- At the beginning of the year, discuss with your mentor how often he/she will give you feedback about your thesis progress.
- When you give your mentor a draft, ask when you can expect him/her to return it with feedback.
- Find out ahead of time if your mentor tends to provide a lot of comments or very few, to give you an idea of what to expect. That way you won't be surprised or worried if your paper comes back full of red marks, or with very few comments.
- Do not think your drafts have to be perfect before handing them in to your mentor. However, it is easier for a mentor to give good feedback if the draft is presentable. If you're not sure, ask a peer to read it over first.

- Do not ask your mentor to re-read a whole paper if only certain sections have been revised. Use track changes and comments to direct your mentor to areas in the paper about which you have questions or concerns.
- Do not take feedback from your mentor personally. Remember you are here to learn more about how to conduct research and write an empirical paper! However, if you disagree with a criticism raised by your mentor, show that you are willing to consider the point, but don't be afraid to demonstrate your ability to defend your ideas in a professional manner.

Professional Development

- The mentoring relationship doesn't begin and end with the thesis. Your mentor can help you in many areas of professional development – talk to him/her about your future goals.
- Attend departmental lectures, colloquia, and job talks, and talk to your mentor about these activities. This type of initiative and intellectual curiosity can truly benefit your relationship with your mentor as well as your own professional development.

What to Do if Problems Arise in Your Mentoring Relationship

Hopefully, establishing clear terms for a mentoring relationship at the beginning of the academic year will prevent problems between you and your mentor as you move through your senior year and towards GwD. However, occasionally situations arise that hinder timely progress on the thesis. If you have a problem that is preventing you from moving forward (personal or professional), take the initiative to contact your mentor and discuss the situation with him or her.

Similarly, situations sometimes arise for faculty members, too. Other demands on your mentor may hinder his/her ability to meet with you regularly or provide prompt feedback on your work. If this happens repeatedly, discuss this with your mentor. Tell him/her that you feel the need to develop a strategy that keeps your work on schedule.

If, after talking with your mentor, you still feel that you are not getting the support you need, talk to someone else. You may want to start by approaching graduate students or other students in the lab who may be able to tell you if the mentor's behavior is typical and/or might be able to suggest possible solutions. They may also be able to explain the norms for the frequency of meetings, the turnaround time for feedback, and the general availability of faculty. If you are not able to resolve issues with your mentor on your own, it is highly recommended that you ask for help. Individuals in the Undergraduate Psychology Office or your thesis workshop professor can clarify departmental expectations and standards, and may be able to provide suggestions for how to resolve problems.

Words of Wisdom from Former GwD Students

Below are some tips provided by recent GwD students from Psychology & Neuroscience.

Research Topic

- Find a topic you're passionate about, it makes everything easier.
- Really choose a topic that you're curious about.
- GwD is a lot of work, so be sure you're truly interested in what you're researching.

Time Management

- Start early. Create a timeline for yourself at the beginning of the year.
- Start early, even if it's just jotting down notes for what you want to include in each section of your paper.
- Schedule your oral defense earlier in the semester. There will probably still be a mad dash, but it will force you to finish your thesis sooner.

- Make sure you start on it early! [This was a very common tip, heed this advice!] Research often takes much longer than you would expect, and certain things may be beyond your control (e.g., time to get IRB approval, participant recruitment issues).

Relationship with Mentor

- Your mentors (both faculty and graduate students) can make or break your experience!
- Work with a mentor you get along with and whose style is compatible with yours.
- If you want to meet more with your mentor and speed up the pace of your progress, be vocal.
- Make sure you are in really tight contact with your grad student mentor or faculty mentor. Be the squeaky wheel to ensure that you get through at least a few drafts back and forth between the two of you.

Resources

- Use the SSRI help desk to your advantage. They were so helpful!

Appendix: Forms

REQUEST FOR PSYCHOLOGY RESEARCH INDEPENDENT STUDY

Courses entitled Research Independent Study involve individual research in a field of special interest under the supervision of a faculty member, the central goal of which is a substantive literature review or write-up of an empirical study. Such research independent study courses bear a Research (R) code and thus satisfy general education research requirements. One research independent study maybe be approved for a Writing (W) code in addition to the R code, but no other curriculum code designations are permitted for research independent study courses. Moreover, one research independent study also may be used to fulfill the major's Depth Requirement. Students should indicate on the proposal form if they would like the research independent study course to count towards the Depth Requirement, as such credit will not be given automatically. Students who wish to request a W code for one research independent study course must submit a request form (available at <http://trinity.duke.edu/undergraduate/academic-policies/research-independent-study-w-code>) to the Undergraduate Psychology Office (242 Soc/Psych), along with their Research Independent Study Proposal form. These forms are due by noon on the last day of Drop/Add.

Students interested in completing a significant research project during their undergraduate career may want to consider the Graduation with Distinction Program (GwD). This is especially important for students planning to pursue graduate education in psychology but is recommended for any student with a strong interest in research. The table on the following page offers a comparison of requirements for Independent Study and GwD.

Policies:

Approval – The independent study must be signed by the instructor(s) involved. There is no need to get the DUS's signature before turning in the form. If there is any problem with the proposal, the Undergraduate Psychology Office will get in touch with the student. In general, once arrangements have been made with the faculty member there shouldn't be any problem.

Faculty appointment – The supervising faculty member must hold a primary appointment within Duke's Psychology & Neuroscience Department. In some cases, a faculty member holding an appointment outside P&N may mentor the bulk of the independent study. If this is the case, the instructor of record is responsible for submitting the final grade, and ensuring that the research mentor adheres to the academic standards, policies, and procedures pertaining to undergraduate students in Trinity College of Arts & Sciences.

Course Content / Quality – The independent study must provide a rigorous academic experience equivalent to that of any other undergraduate course at Duke. Independent study courses may not duplicate available course offerings during the term in which the independent study is being taken, nor may independent study be used simply to provide low-level support for other projects or to observe or shadow the work of others.

Meeting schedule – In addition to the individual effort of the student, which normally entails ~10 hours of work per week, the student will meet with the instructor of the independent study at least once every two weeks during the fall or spring semester, or at least once per week during the summer.

Final product – The student will produce a final academic paper before the end of the term. The specific due date will be negotiated with the instructor.

Grading – The instructor will evaluate the work, including the final paper, associated with the independent study, and submit a grade at the end of the semester. If the research mentor is someone other than the instructor of record, this mentor will communicate the final grade to the instructor of record, and the instructor of record will submit the final grade.

* For policies and procedures related to independent study in Study Abroad Programs, see the Duke Abroad Handbook (<https://globaled.duke.edu/sites/globaled.duke.edu/files/2017-08/The%20Duke%20Abroad%20Handbook%202017-2018-FINAL%20ELECTRONIC%20VERSION.pdf>).

Procedures:

1. Students wishing to register for an independent study first must make arrangements with a faculty member having expertise in the desired area. The student and instructor should agree on the course title, plan of study, objectives, and expectations, as well as on the nature of the final product and the criteria for evaluation. This information will be specified on the application form.
2. The student must submit the Research Independent Study Proposal form (attached here) to the Undergraduate Psychology Office (242 Soc/Psych) or email by noon on the last day of Drop/Add for the term in which the independent study is to be taken. After the form is processed, the student will receive a permission number to register for the course.

Research Independent Study Overview

Getting Started	<p>Consider topics/areas that interest you most</p> <p>Learn about faculty research interests on the P&N website (https://psychandneuro.duke.edu/, 'People' tab)</p> <p>Discuss possible projects with relevant faculty</p> <p>Establish an agreement for working together</p> <p>Complete the Research Independent Study Proposal form</p>
------------------------	---

	Independent Study	Graduation with Distinction
Goals	Learn how to develop a research question, study it, and report the results	Develop an original research question, test it empirically (with data analysis), write thesis for a professional audience and possible publication, and complete an oral defense
Duration	One or more semesters (only two count toward the major); Student and instructor meet at least every other week	Minimum of two semesters (only two count toward the major); Student and mentor meet at least every other week
Final Product	If the student plans to complete the research over a period of two or more semesters, he or she must complete a final paper to be graded at the end of each term. For example, at the end of the first semester the student may submit the introduction and methods of research, and at the end of the second semester he or she may produce a final draft of the paper.	
Types	<i>Empirical Project</i> , with original data collection and analysis; or secondary analysis of existing data; <i>Literature Review</i> (review and synthesis article)	Usually an <i>Empirical Project</i> , with original data collection and analysis; or secondary analysis of existing data; <i>Comprehensive Literature Review</i> also acceptable
Format	Usually APA style or similar; AMA style or similar if more relevant for medical journals	Usually APA or AMA style; in manuscript form or close, as for publication
Length (double spaced)	Empirical Project: approximately 15-25 pages of text, plus references and figures/tables as relevant; Literature Review: minimum 20 pages, plus references	Whatever length is appropriate, as determined in consultation with the faculty mentor; Typical manuscript length is 15-30 pages plus references and figures/tables
Literature Review	All papers must include a literature review that conveys what is currently known as well as any gaps in the research, and must reference at least 12 articles from peer-reviewed journals	Substantive literature review relevant to the research question plus additional citations as needed for interpretation of results
Oral Defense	None	Minimum one-hour oral defense with committee (faculty mentor plus two others); Focus = final paper (distributed to committee at least one week in advance of the defense)

Student Name: _____

DESCRIPTION OF STUDY

At the end of this form, describe the details of items 1-4.

1. Title and Description of Proposed Study

Provide a one- to two-paragraph description of the proposed study, including topic, course goals, research / readings to be conducted. If you are planning a multi-semester project, be sure to clearly indicate that and explain why more than one semester is required for your project. Typically, multi-semester projects are ones that involve data analysis and/or data collection as opposed to a literature review only.

2. Nature of the Final Product

Describe the nature and length of the final product (e.g. academic paper, artistic product, research report, etc.) If you are planning a multi-semester project, clearly specify what final product you will be completing for the initial semester.


3. Scheduled Meetings and Work Expectations

Provide information on frequency and length of meetings with instructor, and expected work commitments and/or timetables.

4. Grade Basis

Provide information on how your work in the course is to be evaluated.

Student Name: _____

A large, empty rectangular box with a thin black border, occupying most of the page. It is intended for a student to draw or write their answer.

GRADUATION WITH DISTINCTION APPLICATION

Graduation with Distinction (GwD) in Psychology recognizes undergraduates who conduct an independent research project that results in a scholarly thesis and oral defense before a faculty committee. Participants must meet minimum GPA requirements, spend at least two semesters of research on the project, and complete the associated thesis preparation course before defending the thesis and presenting the research to Duke's academic community. Participation in the program is noted on the transcript during the senior year and successful completion results in acknowledgment on the transcript and at graduation ceremonies. Exceptional theses may be nominated by the faculty mentor for consideration for the Zener Award, presented annually to an outstanding graduating senior in Psychology. Specific requirements of the Psychology GwD program include:

- **Overall GPA of 3.0 and major GPA of 3.5.** Applicants may have below-minimum grades at the time of application, but must meet the required levels by the time of graduation in order to be awarded Honors. GPAs are not rounded up. In cases where the student's GPA falls just below a required cut-off, his or her faculty mentor may elect to petition the DUS for Psychology to permit the student to graduate with distinction.
- **Completion of two semesters of independent study related to the project.** Independent study courses are one-credit courses. Only two Psychology independent study courses may count toward major requirements, and grades in these courses are not included in the calculation of the major GPA.
- **Completion of PSY 496.** This is a one-credit course taught during spring semester only. It may count toward major requirements but the grade in this course is not included in the calculation of the major GPA.
- **Completion of a substantial written thesis/research project under the mentorship of a faculty member in P&N.** The thesis mentor usually serves as the chair of the student's thesis committee.
- **Successful completion of an oral defense before a 3-person faculty committee.** The chair, or first member of the committee, must hold a primary or joint appointment in P&N. The second member may hold a primary, joint, or secondary appointment in P&N. The final member may be a P&N faculty member, post-doc, or graduate student, a faculty member from another department at Duke, or a faculty member from another institution. (A current list P&N faculty may be found <https://psychandneuro.duke.edu/> under the 'People' tab.)
- **Poster presentation at the Visible Thinking undergraduate research fair in April.**
 - **Submit the following form to psychologyDUS@duke.edu or to 242 Soc/Psych by the first day of class registration for the term in which the thesis will be defended (for a fall defense, Fall registration in March/April; for a spring defense, Spring registration in October/November)**

Student Name: _____ Defense Date: Fall Spring of 20____

Unique ID Number: _____ Email: _____

Project Title: _____

Approval Signatures

Committee Chair Printed Name: _____

Committee Chair Signature: _____

Committee Member Printed Name: _____

Committee Member Signature: _____

Committee Member Printed Name: _____

Committee Member Signature: _____

Student Signature: _____

DUS Signature: _____

For Office Use Only: PSY 496 Permission Number: _____

Student Name: _____

Evaluation of the Written Thesis					
Score	1	2	3	4	5
	Strongly disagree ----- Strongly Agree				
	1. The paper adequately reviews the literature, demonstrates how the student’s research fills a gap, and presents a compelling argument for the significance and scientific value of the student’s research.				
	2. The paper clearly and explicitly articulates the research question(s) or goals of the study.				
	3. The paper describes the methods and measures used in the study in a manner that provides a clear understanding of what was done.				
	4. The analyses are appropriate to test the hypotheses of the study and clearly map onto the main study questions.				
	5. The tables and figures in the results section are clear and informative.				
	6. The discussion section provides a compelling discussion of the implications of the findings.				
	7. The discussion section identifies appropriate limitations of the study and suggests how those limitations could be addressed in future work.				
	8. The paper is clearly written and includes significant definitions and explanations to make the research accessible and engaging to readers not familiar with the field.				
	9. The paper is virtually free of obvious errors such as typos, misspellings, grammatical errors, etc.				
	10. The paper is written in a manner consistent with APA guidelines.				
Please check one of the statements below.					
<input type="checkbox"/>	This paper is definitely not of publishable quality.				
<input type="checkbox"/>	This paper is potentially publishable but would require substantive revisions.				
<input type="checkbox"/>	This paper is potentially publishable but would require substantive revisions as well as a larger sample and/or additional experiments.				
<input type="checkbox"/>	This paper is potentially publishable with minor revisions but would require a larger sample and/or additional experiments.				
<input type="checkbox"/>	This paper is potentially publishable with minor revisions.				

Student Name: _____

Evaluation of the Oral Presentation of the Thesis					
Score	1	2	3	4	5
	Strongly disagree ----- Strongly Agree				
	1. The student clearly articulated the research question(s) or goals of the study.				
	2. The student described the methods and measures used in the study in a manner that provided a clear understanding of what was done.				
	3. The slides and visual aids used in the presentation were clear and informative.				
	4. The student provided a compelling discussion of the implications of the findings with regard to the study question(s) or goals, policies and/or practices, and next steps in the research process.				
	5. The student described the limitations of the study and suggested how those limitations could be addressed in future work.				

Report on Examination of Candidate for Graduation with Distinction in Psychology Spring 2018

Upon examination of _____, a candidate for the

A.B. *B.S.* degree with a major in Psychology, we

recommend *do not recommend*

that the bachelor's degree be awarded with distinction.

Date of Examination _____

Title of Thesis

Chair

Printed Name	Signature
--------------	-----------

Committee Member

Printed Name	Signature
--------------	-----------

Committee Member

Printed Name	Signature
--------------	-----------

Director of Undergraduate Studies

Printed Name	Signature
--------------	-----------

For Office Use Only:

Overall GPA _____ Major GPA _____