## Major Area Written Paper Evaluation Form

### Department of Psychology & Neuroscience

### Duke University

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evaluative Dimension</th>
<th>LOW</th>
<th>HIGH</th>
<th>NA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Clarity of the problem definition</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Impartial criteria for inclusion of studies</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Balance/ fairness in coverage of alternative views</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Appropriateness and quality of conceptual integration</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Correspondence between findings and inferences drawn</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Quality of writing (clarity, coherence, organization)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. Originality of contribution</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Appropriateness of implications for future research</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comment:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Does this paper pass the student on to the oral defense? (A score of 3 is required to pass.)**

1  2  3  4  5

**Does the paper meet the minimum length standard?**

YES NO

**Is this paper ready for submission for publication, as is or with achievable revision?**

YES NO