

Student Name: _____

Evaluation of the Written Thesis					
Score	1	2	3	4	5
	Strongly disagree ----- Strongly Agree				
	1. The paper adequately reviews the literature, demonstrates how the student's research fills a gap, and presents a compelling argument for the significance and scientific value of the student's research.				
	2. The paper clearly and explicitly articulates the research question(s) or goals of the study.				
	3. The paper describes the methods and measures used in the study in a manner that provides a clear understanding of what was done.				
	4. The analyses are appropriate to test the hypotheses of the study and clearly map onto the main study questions.				
	5. The tables and figures in the results section are clear and informative.				
	6. The discussion section provides a compelling discussion of the implications of the findings.				
	7. The discussion section identifies appropriate limitations of the study and suggests how those limitations could be addressed in future work.				
	8. The paper is clearly written and includes significant definitions and explanations to make the research accessible and engaging to readers not familiar with the field.				
	9. The paper is virtually free of obvious errors such as typos, misspellings, grammatical errors, etc.				
	10. The paper is written in a manner consistent with APA guidelines.				
Please check one of the statements below.					
<input type="checkbox"/>	This paper is definitely not of publishable quality.				
<input type="checkbox"/>	This paper is potentially publishable but would require substantive revisions.				
<input type="checkbox"/>	This paper is potentially publishable but would require substantive revisions as well as a larger sample and/or additional experiments.				
<input type="checkbox"/>	This paper is potentially publishable with minor revisions but would require a larger sample and/or additional experiments.				
<input type="checkbox"/>	This paper is potentially publishable with minor revisions.				